26/102

Gray Rider Truck Lines, Inc.

P.O. Box 17415 * Pensacola, FL 32522 * 251-946-3030

November 24, 2003

FALCSA-2003 16339-3

Chief Safety Officer
Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration
400 7th Street, S.W., Room 8302A
Washington, DC 20590

Re: Decision of Petitionnial of Request for Safety Rating Change pursuant to 49 CFR 385.17

Dear FMCSA Chief Safety Officer:

After having spoken with Judy Rutledge regarding the Decision on Denial for Review of Safety Rating last week, for Gray Rider Truck Lines, Inc. (USDOT# 280357), I would like to request that the agency give further consideration to the issue and provide a clarification regarding their decision.

Gray Rider Truck Lines, Inc. (GRTL)has outlined the corrective actions that it has taken in previous correspondence submitted to FMCSA, and feels it is doing everything possible to prove that is has implemented programs to address the deficiencies cited on their most recent compliance review dated June 11, 2003.

GRTL began to implement corrective action immediately following the review, and submitted a request for safety rating change based on the corrective action on August 18,2503. The carrier's safety rating denial has been based on their performance based evaluation made during the period May 20 – August 25, 2003, the overwhelming portion of which was before the carrier claimed that it had programs in place to deal with the cited violation (August 18, 2003). While the carrier understands that the FMCSA may use various performance measures to decide if a rating upgrade is warranted, it feels that the use of information that would not have impacted the safety rating during the compliance review as a reason to deny the rating upgrade subsequent to the review places them in a situation where they are being held to a higher standard than their peers.

GRTL does not suggest to the FMCSA that they are in perfect compliance with the hours of service requirements. They have to deal with imperfect drivers on a day-te-day basis. The company has discovered log violations during their log audit procedures, but had minimized the number of violations to a level that they were no longer had a critical violation pattern of the logging rules. Since the initial safety rating upgrade request was made, the carrier's violation rates have remained under 10% for violations of the 10, 15, 70-hour and falsification standards. The drivers for GRTL are all fully aware of the carrier's policy regarding logbook violations. The carrier notes that though it has received a single "hours of service" violation write up since the request for the safety rating upgrade went out, that they fired the driver immediately for the violation. Though the agency has mentioned that it "doubts the efficacy" of the carrier's corrective action program, the

carrier does not see how taking such abrupt action can indicate anything other than their commitment to hours of service compliance.

The carrier has provided, with this letter, a recap printed from the DOT / VOLPE website on 11/17/2003, which shows that the carrier has only had a single driver out-of-service violation since the date the rating upgrade was submitted. The inspection was on driver James Murphy (in FL on 8/25/2003). The driver knew that the company would lay him off dispatch for the violation cited, so he did not turn the inspection in to them. The company discovered this to be the case, and fired him on the spot. This was the only driver out of service violation cited for the 16 inspections completed since the rating upgrade request was filed. The carrier feels that this should further indicate to the FMCSA that the hours of service compliance program put into place is effective.

GRTL requests that the FMCSA give further consideration to this matter and that it provide further clarification regarding their denial should they not be convinced regarding the effectiveness of the carrier's compliance programs. The carrier is requesting this from the agency in hopes to settle this matter without having to move forward to the U.S. Court of Appeals, as has been advised by their counsel.

GRTL certifies that it currently meets the safety standards / factors listed in 49 CFR 385.5 and 385.7.

Respectfully,

John Lee, President Gray Rider Truck Lines, Inc.

JL/ppn

Cc: Thomas L. Oliver (Carr-Allison)
Lane VanIngen (Transportation Safety Services)

(Attachments)

BEFORE THE FEDERAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

color-bodos

In The Matter Of:

GRAY RIDER TRUCK LINES, INC. (U. S. DOT No. 280357)

Petitioner

1541.H-65 ZE:54 --FROM:

Docket No. FMCSA-2003-16339 (Southern Service Center)

DECISION ON PETITION FOR REVIEW OF SAFETY RATING

This matter comes before me on a September 16, 2003, petition by Gray Rider Truck Lines, Inc. (Gray Rider or petitioner), for administrative review of a "conditional" safety rating, pursuant to 49 CFR 385.15. The conditional rating was assigned following a Compliance Review of Gray Rider completed on June 11, 2003. It became effective on August 9, 2003.

On August 18, 2003, Gray Rider filed a request under 49 CFR 385.17 for a change in safety rating based on corrective actions taken. In that request, petitioner described new procedures and actions implemented to reduce or eliminate violations of controlled substance testing requirements and acceptance of false reports of records of duty status uncovered in the compliance review. Gray Rider's request for an improved safety rating was denied by the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration's (FMCSA)

Southern Field Administrator on September 10, 2003. The letter of denial stated that:

The carrier's roadside profile from 5-20-2003 to 8-25-2003 documents 20 driver vehicle inspections. Six of these (30%) resulted in vehicles or drivers being placed out of service, 3 of which involved violating hours of service rules. This sample of roadside inspections does not warrant an upgrade in rating. The carrier is advised to contact the Tallahassee division office, FMCSA, to schedule a follow-up Compliance Review.

The carrier has now filed a petition for review of that denial.

Gray Rider alleges three principal errors in the Southern Field Administrator's refusal to upgrade its safety rating. First, petitioner asserts that because its vehicle out-of-service rate was not cited in the compliance review as a factor resulting in the conditional rating, it was improper to consider this rate in connection with its corrective actions.

Second, Gray Rider challenges the relevance of the time period May 20 to August 25, 2003, because portions of this period predate its compliance review and the subsequent adoption of corrective actions. Finally, although the carrier concedes that three of its drivers were cited for hours-of-service violations during this period, it claims that only one was placed out-of-service, not three as stated in the September 10 letter.

Gray Rider is simply incorrect in its assertion that consideration of a request for an upgraded safety rating can only focus on the corrective actions taken by the carrier with respect to violations disclosed in the compliance review. These requests are governed by the provisions of 49 CFR 385.17. Subsection 385.17(i) states that FMCSA will notify the motor carrier in writing if it determines that the carrier "has not taken all the corrective actions required, or that its operations still fail to meet the safety standards and factors specified in [sections] 385.5 and 385.7" (emphasis added). One factor enumerated in section 385.7 is the "frequency and severity of driver/vehicle regulatory violations identified in roadside inspections." It was thus entirely appropriate for the

Field Administrator to consider the most recent three-month period of roadside inspections. The fact that the first 21 days of this period predated the compliance review does not invalidate use of the period, particularly in light of documented hours-of-service violations that took place in August and which are discussed immediately below.

Petitioner has submitted copies of four driver/vehicle inspection reports to demonstrate that certain roadside inspections cited by the Field Administrator took place before the compliance review. It also apparently believes that these documents support its assertion that only one driver was placed out-of-service for hours-of-service violations, not the three drivers indicated in the Field Administrator's letter. It is not necessary here to identify the exact number of drivers placed out-of-service.

Two of the inspection reports, one dated August 14 and one dated August 15, reveal violations of the hours-of service regulations by Gray Rider drivers. (The reports also indicate violations of vehicle safety requirements and local HOV restrictions.) I find that these two inspection reports, standing alone, are sufficient to establish substantial doubt as to the efficacy of petitioner's corrective actions. The violations occurred more than two months after the compliance review and less than one week before petitioner filed its list of corrective actions taken. The violations identified on these two reports fully warrant the Field Administrator's denial of the request for an upgraded safety rating.

The record before me thus fails to document any material error by the Field Administrator. Petitioner is again advised to contact FMCSA's Tallahassee, FL, division office to schedule a follow-up compliance review.

its conditional safety rating filed by Gray Rider Truck Lines is denied.

John H. Hill

Chief Safety Officer Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

YUWHIMHZO BERDDI HRUM

- ೯೦೩ ಶ್ರಿಕಿಂಟಕ ಎಂ

_ 1004**566**2008

PAGE: 22F

FMCSA-2003-16339

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

John Loe, President Gray Rider Truck Lines, Inc. P.O. Box 17415 Pensacola, FL 32522 One Copy U. S. Mail

Steve Barber, Director Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration Data Analysis and Information Systems 400 7th Street, SW, Room 8302 Washington, DC 20590 One Copy Personal Delivery

Joseph Muscaro
Field Administrator
Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration
Eastern Service Center
400 7th Street SW, Room 8300
Washington, DC 20590

One Copy Personal Delivery

Jerry Cooper
Field Administrator
Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration
Southern Service Center
61 Forsythe Street SW, Suite 17T75
Atlanta, GA 30303

One Copy U.S. Mail

Doug Sawin
Field Administrator
Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration
Midwestern Service Center
19900 Governors Drive, Suite 210
Olympia Fields, IL 60461

One Copy U. S. Mail Joanne R. Haller
Acting Field Administrator
Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration
Western Service Center
201 Mission Street, Suite 2100
San Francisco, CA 94105

One Copy U. S. Mail

James C. Gregg Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration Florida Division Administrator 227 N. Bronough, Room 2060 Tallahassee, FL 32301 One Copy U. S. Mail

Deborah Stanziano, Esq.
Attorney Advisor
Counsel for Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration
Southern Service Center
61 Forsythe Street SW, Suite 17T75
Atlanta, GA 30303

One Copy U. S. Mail

John H. Hill
Chief Safety Officer
Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration
Attention: Steven B. Farbman
Adjudications Counsel
Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration
400 Virginia Ave. SW, Suite 320
Washington, DC 20024

One Copy Personal Delivery

U.S. DOT Dockets
U.S. Department of Transportation
400 7th Street SW, Room PL-401
Washington, DC 20590

Original Personal Delivery



SAFESTAT ONLINE

Overview Accident Driver Vehicle

Safety

SafeStat Results as of October 24, 2003 **Updated Monthly**



US DOT #: 280357 MC #: 183662

Carrier Name: GRAY RIDER TRUCK LINES INC

Other FMCSA Websites: Select a Link

Driver SEA Report

DHelp DHow do I correct my data? 🎲 Print Read

Click on the underlined text below for an explanation of how an indicator or measure was calculated.

	Driver SEA Value: 97.93			(a) View		
Compliance Review Results (within 18 months)		Driver Inspection Result (within 30 months)	Moving Violation Resi			
		Measures and Indicator	s			
Driver Review Indicator (DRI)	93.3	Driver Inspection Indicator (DII)	97.9	Moving Violation Indicator (MVI)		
Driver Review Measure (DRM)	23.502	Driver Inspection Measure (DIM)	0.482	Moving Violation Measure (MVM)		
		Summary Event Data				
Date of Review	06/11/2003	Number of Driver Inspections	116	Number of Moving Violations		
Number of Critical Violations	1	Number of OOS Inspections	25	Number of Drivers		
Number of Acute Violations	0	Number of Inspections w/OOS Order Violation	0			
		Driver OOS Rate (DOR)	0.216			
View Detail Data		OOS Inspections		View Detail Dat		

Click on the underlined column headings to resort data records. To view the OOS violation description click on underlined number in the Driver OOS Violations column.

Driver OOS Inspections (within 30 months)

Download [

	Inspection Date	Report State	Report Number	Inspection Level	<u>Unit</u> Description	VIN Number	Unit License Number	Unit License State	Dri Vi
1	8/25/2003	FL	0055002967	2	TRUCK TRACTOR	1FUYDZYB6NH477079	409641	AL	
2	8/14/2003	TN	TBAG000352	2	TRUCK TRACTOR	K75009	409632	AL	
3	6/25/2003	MD	00FR004632	3	TRUCK TRACTOR	A65568	409635	AL	
4	5/20/2003	AL	0000341951	3	TRUCK TRACTOR	1FUYDCYB5VL861056	409612	AL	
5	5/9/2003	AL	0000324093	3	TRUCK TRACTOR	1FUYSDYB9YLB74603	312646	AL	
6	4/16/2003	ME	4944000112	1	TRUCK TRACTOR		325359	AL	
7	2/21/2003	ME	0000122532	3	STRAIGHT TRUCK	54 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144 - 144	312648	AL	
8	2/20/2003	МО	H4S4000148	1	TRUCK TRACTOR	1FUJA3BG71LB74608	312651	AL	
9	2/18/2003	SD	0030020687	2	TRUCK TRACTOR	1FUJA3BG71LB74608	312651	AL	
10	1/23/2003	СТ	5700000412	3	TRUCK TRACTOR	1FUJA3BG71LB74611	312654	AL	
11	1/7/2003	w	3152000088	2	TRUCK TRACTOR	1FUJA3BG71LB74608	312651	AL	:
12	10/15/2002	NC	0040001275	2	TRUCK TRACTOR	1FUJA3BG91LB74612	312655	AL	1
13	9/30/2002	GA	0000425872	1	TRUCK TRACTOR	1FUYDCYB5VL861056	312640	AB	1-9-0-000000000000000000000000000000000

14	9/30/2002	NJ	SPAB001884	2	TRUCK TRACTOR	75011	325261	AL	
15	9/11/2002	MD	00DS003622	2	TRUCK TRACTOR	B74612	312655	AL	ALC: UNION
16	9/9/2002	IA	000P153FJM	3	TRUCK TRACTOR		312651	AL	
17	7/9/2002	GA	0000409081	3	TRUCK TRACTOR	1FUYSDYB5XLA65571	312661	AL	
18	5/19/2002	SD	0030016935	2	TRUCK TRACTOR	1FUJA3BG91LB74612	X864659	AL	
19	5/10/2002	TN	BBBA000059	2	TRUCK TRACTOR	B7 4 606	X864653	AL	
20	3/12/2002	LA	0000576400	3	TRUCK TRACTOR		X864650	AL	
21	3/8/2002	FL	0530000698	2	TRUCK TRACTOR	1	X864659	AL	3 6390000
22	2/19/2002	AL	KEJC002491	2	TRUCK TRACTOR	1FUYSDYB7YLB74602	X864649	AL	
23	11/20/2001	MS	0033503221	3	TRUCK TRACTOR		X864653	AL	
24	9/6/2001	TN	00BA003001	2	TRUCK TRACTOR	B74611	X864658	AL	
25	8/14/2001	AL	IWLC002263	2	TRUCK TRACTOR	1FUYSDYB4YLB74606	X864653	AL	

^{*} The moving violation indicator is based on Roadside Inspection reports. Some moving violations are reported whe Roadside Inspection was performed following a traffic stop for the moving violation. These reported violations do not al result in the issuance of a citation to the driver.

CAUTION: Please verify important data before relying on SafeStat results. Inaccurate or out-of-date normalizing data can result in SafeStat results that do not accurately reflect the motor carrier's safety status.



Home | Feedback | Site Map | What's New | User Guide Privacy Statement | Accessibility | DOT User